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## Introduction.

$1^{0}$. We denote by $C$ - the space of continuous functions $f:[-1,1] \rightarrow R$ endowed by uniform norm

$$
\|f\|:=\max _{x \in[-1,1]}|f(x)| ; \quad C^{r}:=\left\{f: f^{(r)} \in C\right\}, r \in N ; C^{0}:=C ; I:=[-1,1] .
$$

Let $s \in N, Y=Y_{s}$ is a collection of $s+1$ distinct points $y_{i} \in I$. For the fix collection $Y$ we denote by $\Delta(Y)$ - the set of functions $f \in C$ such that $f$ is nondecreasing on $\left[y_{i+1}, y_{i}\right]$ when $i$ - even; $f$ is nonincreasing, when $i$ - odd (that is $\Delta(Y)$ - the set of piecewise-monotone functions). Functions $f \in \Delta(Y)$ are called comonotone each other.

For the case $s=1$, that is for the set of monotone functions the direct estimates of approximation by monotone polynomials are investigated in the works of G.G.Lorentz, K.L.Zeller, R.A.De Vore, A.S.Shvedov, R.K.Beatson, X.M.Yu, D.Leviatan, LA.Shevchuk almost so full as in unconstrained approximation. Therefore everywhere below $s>$ $1, s \in N$. Let us denote by $P_{n}$ - the spase of algebraic polynomials of degree $\leq n, n \in$ $N, \quad P_{n}(Y):=P_{n} \cap \Delta(Y)$,

$$
E_{n}^{*}(f):=\inf _{P \in P_{n}(Y)}\|f-P\|
$$

- the value of the best uniform approximation of a function $f \in \Delta(Y)$ by the polynomials $P \in P_{n}(Y)$.
D.J.Newman, E.Passow and L.Raymon proved an estimate (see, for example [5])

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}^{*}(f) \leq B_{Y} \omega_{1}\left(f_{;} n^{-1}\right), \quad n \in N \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{1}(f ; t)$ - the modulus of continuity of $f \in C$, and the constant $B_{Y}$ depends only of $Y$. G.L.Iliev [6] established that the constant $B_{Y}$ in (1.1) may be changed by the constant $B_{s}$, depending only of $s$. A.S.Shvedov [7], (see also K.M.Yu [8]) stregthened the estimate (1.1), replasing the first modulus of continuity $\omega_{1}(f ; t)$ by the second modulus of continuity $\omega_{2}(f ; t)$; namely the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}^{*}(f) \leq B_{Y} \omega_{2}\left(f ; n^{-1}\right), \quad n \in N \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

was proved.
Besides, it turned out, that the constant $B_{Y}$ in (1.2) can't be replaced by the constant $B_{s}$ (see [7]). Estimate (1.2) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n}^{*}(f) \leq \frac{B_{Y}}{n} \omega_{1}\left(f^{\prime} ; n^{-1}\right), \quad n \in N \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in C^{1} \cap \Delta(Y)$. Similary to (1.1) constant $B_{Y}$ in (1.3) can be replaced by the constant $B_{s}$, see R.K.Beatson and D.Leviatan [9]. For the smoothness more than two the following estimates of E.Passow, L.Raymon and J.A.Roulier [3] are known: if $f \in C^{(j+s)}(I) \cap \Delta(Y)$, then

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
E_{n}^{*}(f) \leq B_{j} 2^{s} \frac{\left\|f^{(j+s)}\right\|}{n^{j}}, & n>2(s-1+j) \\
E_{n}^{*}(f) \leq B_{Y, j} n^{2} \frac{\left\|f^{(j+s)}\right\|}{n^{j+s}}, & n>4(s+1+j)
\end{array}
$$

Recall that the $k$-th modulus of continuity of a function $f=f(x)$ continuous on $[a, b]$ is the function

$$
\omega_{k}(t ; f ;[a, b])=\sup _{h \in[0, t]} \sup _{x \in[a, b-k h]}\left|\sigma_{h}^{k}(f ; x)\right|, \quad t \in[0,(b-a) / k]
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{h}^{k}(f ; x):=\sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{k-i}\binom{k}{i} f(x+i h)
$$

is the $k$ - th order finite difference of $f$ at $x$ with step $h$.
Put

$$
p:=\rho_{n}(x):=\frac{1}{n^{2}}+\frac{\sqrt{1-x^{2}}}{n}, \quad x \in I, n \in N .
$$

In this paper the theorem 1 is proved, which provides a coapproximation estimate the same as unconstrained estimate established by S.M.Nikol'skii [13], A.F.Timan [14], V.K.Dzyadyk [15], G.Freud[16], Yu.A.Brudnyi [17] .

Theorem 1. Let $k \in N$. If $f \in C^{2} \cap \Delta(Y)$ then for every integer $n>N_{Y}$ there exists an algebraic polynomial $P_{n} \in P_{n}(Y)$ such that

$$
\left|f(x)-P_{n}(x)\right| \leq B_{s, k} \rho_{n}^{2}(x) \omega_{k}\left(f^{\prime \prime} ; \rho_{n}(x) ; I\right)
$$

for all $x \in I$, where the integer $N_{Y}$ depends only of $Y$, the constant, $B_{s, k}$ depends only of $s$ and $k$.

The following theorem 2 is a corollary from theorem 1 .
Theorem 2. Let $k \in N$. If $f \in C^{2} \cap \Delta(Y)$ then for every integer $n \geq k+1$ there exists an algebraic polynomial $P_{n} \in P_{n}(Y)$ such that

$$
\left|f(x)-P_{n}(x)\right| \leq B_{Y, k} \rho_{n}^{2}(x) \omega_{k}\left(f^{\prime \prime} ; p_{n}(x) ; I\right)
$$

for oll $x \in I$, where the costant $B_{Y, k}$ depends only of $Y$ and $k$.

Theorem 1 and a well-known Dzjadyk's inverse theorem (see, for example [10], p. 263, see also A.T.Timan [11], X6.2.3) provide the theorem 3 - constructive characteristic of Lip* $\alpha \cap \Delta(Y)$ classes for $\alpha>2$.
Theorem 3. Let $\alpha>2$. The function $f \in$ Lip $^{*} \alpha \cap \Delta(Y)$ iff there exists a sequence of polynomials $P_{n} \in P_{n}(Y)$ such that

$$
\left\|\frac{f-P_{n}}{\rho^{\alpha}}\right\|=O(1), \quad n \rightarrow \infty
$$

Let us also formulate the theorems 1 and 2 corollary for the class $W^{r}, r \in N$, of functions which have the $(r-1)$-th absolutely continuous derivative on $I$ and $\left|f^{(r)}(x)\right| \leq 1$ a.e. on $I$.

Theorem 4. If $f \in W^{r} \cap \Delta(Y), r \geq 2$, then for every integer $n \geq r-1$ there exists a polynomial $P_{n} \in P_{n}(Y)$ such that

$$
\left\|\frac{f-P_{n}}{\rho^{r}}\right\| \leq B_{Y, r}
$$

Remark. Theorem 3 is true also for $0<\alpha<2$ [12] and $\alpha=2$, theorem 4 is true for $r=1,2$. Respective papers are to be pablished.

For the methodic purpose we shall prove theorem $1^{\prime}$ equivalent to the theorem 1.
Everywhere below $k$ is integer, $k>1 ; \omega-(k-1)$-majorant, that is $\omega=\omega(t), t \geq 0$, is a continuos and nondecreasing function with $\omega(0)=0$ and $t^{-(k-1)} \omega(t)$ nonincreasing. We write $\omega \in \Phi^{k-1}$ iff $\omega$ is ( $k-1$ )- majorant.

Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{2} H_{k-1}^{\omega} & :=\left\{f: f \in C^{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \omega_{k-1}\left(t ; f^{\prime \prime}: I\right) \leq \omega(t), \quad \text { where } \omega \in \Phi^{k-1}\right\}, \\
W^{1} H_{k}^{\varphi} & :=\left\{f: f \in C \quad \text { and } \quad \omega_{k}(t ; f ; I) \leq \varphi(t), \quad \text { where } \varphi \in \Phi^{k}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is well known an embedding

$$
W^{2} H_{k-1}^{\omega} \subset W^{1} H_{k}^{\varphi},
$$

if $\varphi(t)=t \omega(t)$.
Denote by $A_{i}, B_{i}, c_{i}, R_{i}$ different positive numbers (constants) which may depend only of $k$ and $s$.

Put

$$
\Pi:=\Pi(x):=\Pi(x ; Y):=\prod_{i=1}^{s-1}\left(x-y_{i}\right) .
$$

Theorem 1'. If $f \in W^{2} H_{k-1}^{\omega}$ and $f^{\prime}(x) \Pi(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in I$, then there cxists a number $N=N(Y, k, s)$ and a constant $c_{1}$ such that for every $n>N$ there exists an algebraic polynomial $P_{n}=P_{n}(x)$ of degree $\leq n$ for which the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{n}^{\prime}(x) \Pi(x) \geq 0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f(x)-P_{n}(x)\right| \leq c_{1} \rho^{2} \omega(\rho) . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

are valid.

## Auxiliary assertions.

$2^{0}$. Everywhere below $x \in I, \beta:=\arccos x, y \in I, \alpha:=\arccos y, n \in N, n \neq 1, r:=$ $24 k s+3 k+s+2$. We denote by

$$
D_{2 r+1, n, r}(y, x):=\frac{1}{(2 r)!} \frac{\partial^{2 r+1}}{\partial x^{2 r+1}}(x-y)^{2 r} \int_{\beta-\alpha}^{\beta+\alpha} J_{n, r}(t) d t
$$

- the Dzjadyk-type polynomial kernel (see [1], X15), where

$$
J_{n, r}(t)=\frac{1}{\gamma_{n, r}}\left[\frac{\sin (n t / 2)}{\sin (t / 2)}\right]^{2(r+1)}, \quad \gamma_{n, r}=\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\left(\frac{\sin (n t / 2)}{\sin (t / 2)}\right)^{2(r+1)} d t,
$$

is the Jackson-type kernel.
Let the function $g=g(x)$ be continuons on $I$. By $L_{k}(x, g)$ we denote the Lagrange polynomial of degree $\leq k$ which interpolates $g$ at the points $-1+2 i / k, i=\overline{0, k}$.
Lemma 1 ( $[1, \mathrm{p} .135])$. If $g \in W^{2} H_{k-1}^{\omega}$, then the polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{n}^{(0)}(x ; g):=D_{n}(x ; g):=\int_{-1}^{1}\left(g(y)-L_{k}(y ; g)\right) D_{2 r+1, n, r}(y, x) d y+L_{k}(x ; g) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

of degree $<(r+1)(n-1)$ and its derivatives $D_{n}^{(1)}(x ; g), D_{n}^{(2)}(x ; g)$ for all $\delta>0$ and $x \in I$ satisfy the inequalities

$$
\begin{align*}
\mid g^{(p)}(x)- & D_{n}^{(p)}(x ; g) \mid \leq \rho^{2-p}\left(R_{1} \omega_{k-1}\left(\rho, f^{\prime \prime},[x-\delta, x+\delta] \cap I\right)\right. \\
& \left.+R_{2}\left(\frac{\rho}{\delta}\right)^{r-2 \dot{k}-2} \omega(\rho)\right), \quad p=0 \vee 1 \vee 2 \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g^{(p)}(x)-D_{n}^{(p)}(x ; g)\right| \leq R_{1} \rho^{2-p} \omega(\rho) . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a fix $n$ and every $j=\overline{1, n}$ put

$$
\begin{gathered}
\beta_{j}:=j \pi / n, \overline{\beta_{j}}:=(j-1 / 2) \pi / n, \\
\beta_{j}^{0}:=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
(j-1 / 4) \pi / n, & \text { if } & j<n / 2, \\
(j-3 / 4) \pi / n, & \text { if } & j \geq n / 2,
\end{array}\right. \\
x_{j}:=\cos \beta_{j}, \bar{x}_{j}:=\cos \bar{\beta}_{j}, x_{j}^{0}:=\cos \beta_{j}^{0},
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
t_{j, n}(x):=\left(x-x_{j}^{0}\right)^{-2} \cos ^{2} 2 n \arccos x+\left(x-\bar{x}_{j}\right)^{-2} \sin ^{2} 2 n \arccos x
$$

- an algebraic polynomial of degree $4 n-2$. Set $x_{-2}=x_{-1}=x_{0}=1, x_{n+1}=x_{n+2}=-1$. The following inequalities hold (see [1],p. 142,120).

$$
\begin{gather*}
\rho<h_{j}<5 \rho, x \in I_{j} ;  \tag{2.4}\\
h_{j \pm 1}<3 h_{j} ;  \tag{2.5}\\
\min \left\{\left(x-x_{j}^{0}\right)^{-2},\left(x-\bar{x}_{j}\right)^{-2}\right\} \leq t_{j, n}(x) \\
\leq \max \left\{\left(x-x_{j}^{0}\right)^{-2},\left(x-\bar{x}_{j}\right)^{-2}\right\}, x \in I ;  \tag{2.6}\\
t_{j, n} \leq 10^{3} h_{j}^{-2}, x \in I_{j} ;  \tag{2.7}\\
\rho^{2}<4 h_{j}\left(\left|x-x_{j}\right|+h_{j}\right), x \in I ;  \tag{2.8}\\
h_{j}^{2}<64 \rho\left(\left|x-x_{j}\right|+\rho\right), x \in I ;  \tag{2.9}\\
2\left(\left|x-x_{j}\right|+h_{j}\right)>\left|x-x_{j}\right|+\rho>\left(\left|x-x_{j}\right|+h_{j}\right) / 8, \quad x \in I . \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us take $N_{Y} \in N$ such, that every segment $\left[y_{i+1}, y_{i}\right], i=\overline{0, s-1}$, containes at least three different segments $I_{j}$, and everywhere below $n \geq N_{Y}$.

We set

$$
\begin{gathered}
O_{i}:=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
\left(x_{j+1}, x_{j-1}\right), & \text { if } \quad x_{j}=y_{i}, \\
\left(x_{j+1}, x_{j-2}\right), & \text { if } \quad y_{i} \in\left(x_{j}, x_{j-1}\right), \\
O & :=\bigcup_{i=1}^{s-1} O_{i} .
\end{array}\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us write $j \in W$ if $I_{j} \cap O=\emptyset, j=\overline{1, n}$.
The following simple estimates we shall need

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{\Pi(x)}{\Pi(y)}\right| \leq\left(\frac{|x-y|}{\rho_{n}(y)}+1\right)^{s-1}, \quad x \in I, y \in I \backslash O,  \tag{2.11}\\
& \left|\frac{\Pi(x)}{\Pi\left(x_{j}\right)}\right| \leq 3\left(\frac{\left|x-x_{j}\right|}{h_{j}}+1\right)^{s-1}, \quad x \in I, j \in W . \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Denote $b_{1}:=6 k s, b_{2}:=r-2 k-1+\left[\frac{s+k-1}{2}\right], b:=b_{1} \vee b_{2}$;

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{j, n}:=d_{j, n}(b ; Y):=\int_{-1}^{1} t_{j, n}^{b}(y) \Pi(y) d y \\
T_{j, n}(x):=T_{j, n}(x ; b ; Y):=\frac{1}{d_{j, n}(b ; Y)} \int_{-1}^{x} t_{j, n}^{b}(y) \Pi(y) d y
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\bar{d}_{j, n}:=\bar{d}_{j, n}(b ; Y):=\int_{-1}^{1}\left(y-x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j-1}-y\right) t_{j, n}^{b+1}(y) \Pi(y) d y \\
\tilde{T}_{j, n}(x):=\tilde{T}_{j, n}(x ; b ; Y):=\frac{1}{\bar{d}_{j, n}(b, Y)} \int_{-1}^{x}\left(y-x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j-1}-y\right) t_{j, n}^{b+1}(y) \Pi(y) d y ; \\
\bar{T}_{j, n}(x):=\bar{T}_{j, n}(x ; b ; Y):=\left(T_{j, n}(x ; b ; Y)-\tilde{T}_{j, n}(x ; b ; Y)\right) \operatorname{sign} d_{j, n}(b ; Y)
\end{gathered}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{gathered}
\chi_{j}(x):=0, \quad \text { if } x \leq x_{j}, \quad \chi_{j}(x):=1, \quad \text { if } x>x_{j} ; \\
\Gamma:=\Gamma(x ; j ; b):=\left(\frac{h_{j}}{\left|x-x_{j}\right|+h_{j}}\right)^{2 b}\left|\frac{\Pi(x)}{\Pi\left(x_{j}\right)}\right| .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 2. If $j \in W$, then

$$
\begin{gather*}
\operatorname{sign} d_{j, n}=\operatorname{sign} \bar{d}_{j, n}=\operatorname{sign} \Pi\left(x_{j}\right) ;  \tag{2.13}\\
B_{1} h_{j}^{1-2 b}\left|\Pi\left(x_{j}\right)\right| \leq\left|d_{j, n}\right| \leq B_{2} h_{j}^{1-2 b}\left|\Pi\left(x_{j}\right)\right| ;  \tag{2.14}\\
B_{3} h_{j}^{1-2 b}\left|\Pi\left(x_{j}\right)\right| \leq\left|\bar{d}_{j, n}\right| \leq B_{4} h_{j}^{1-2 b}\left|\Pi\left(x_{j}\right)\right| ;  \tag{2.15}\\
T_{j, n}^{\prime}(x) \Pi(x) \operatorname{sign} d_{j, n} \geq 0, \quad x \in I ;  \tag{2.16}\\
\bar{T}_{j, n}^{\prime}(x) \Pi(x)>0, \quad x \in I \backslash I_{j} ;  \tag{2.17}\\
\left|T_{j, n}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq B_{5} \frac{1}{h_{j}} \Gamma, \quad x \in I ;  \tag{2.18}\\
\left|\bar{T}_{j, n}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq B_{6} \frac{1}{h_{j}} \Gamma, \quad x \in I ;  \tag{2.19}\\
\left|\bar{T}_{j, n}^{\prime}(x)\right| \geq\left|T_{j, n}^{\prime}(x)\right| \geq B_{7} \frac{1}{h_{j}} \Gamma, \quad x \in I \backslash I_{j} ;  \tag{2.20}\\
\left|\chi_{j}(x)-T_{j, n}(x)\right| \leq B_{s}\left(\frac{h j}{\left|x-x_{j}\right|+h_{j}}\right)^{2 b-s}, x \in I ;  \tag{2.21}\\
\left|\bar{T}_{j, n}(x)\right| \leq B_{9}\left(\frac{h_{j}}{\left|x-x_{j}\right|+h_{j}}\right)^{2 b-s}, x \in I . \tag{2.22}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. For convenience assume $j<n / 2$, that is in particular $x_{j}^{0}-x_{j}>\left(\bar{x}_{j}-x_{j}\right) / 2>$ $h_{j} / 4$. Since by (2.5) $x_{j-1}-\bar{x}_{j}>h_{j} / 4$, so $\bar{x}_{j} \leftrightarrow x_{j}^{0} \leq 3 h_{j} / 8$.

Let us represent $d_{j, n}, \bar{d}_{j, n}$ as a sums

$$
\begin{gathered}
d_{j, n}=d_{j, n,-1}+d_{j, n, 0}+d_{j, n, 1}:=\int_{-1}^{x_{j}}+\int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j-1}}+\int_{x_{j-1}}^{1} t_{j, n}^{b}(y) \Pi(y) d y \\
\bar{d}_{j, n}=\bar{d}_{j, n,-1}+\bar{d}_{j, n, 0}+\bar{d}_{j, n, 1}:=\int_{-1}^{x_{j}}+\int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j-1}}+\int_{x_{j-1}}^{1}\left(y-x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j-1}-y\right) t_{j, n}^{b+1}(y) \Pi(y) d y
\end{gathered}
$$

Applying the estimates

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|x-x_{j}\right|+h_{j}<8 \min \left\{\left|x-x_{j}^{0}\right|,\left|x-\bar{x}_{j}\right|\right\}, x \in I \backslash I_{j} \\
\left(x-x_{j}\right)\left(x-x_{j-1}\right)<(4 / 3) \min \left\{\left(x-x_{j}^{0}\right)^{2},\left(x-\bar{x}_{j}\right)^{2}\right\}, x \in I \backslash I_{j},
\end{gathered}
$$

(2.6) and (2.12) we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|\bar{d}_{j, n,-1}\right|<8^{s}\left|\Pi\left(x_{j}\right)\right| h_{j}^{1-s} \int_{-\infty}^{x_{j}}\left(x-x_{j}^{0}\right)^{s-1-2 b} d x<\frac{2^{s} 4^{2 b}}{2 b-s}\left|\Pi\left(x_{j}\right)\right| h_{j}^{1-2 b} \\
:=B_{10}\left|\Pi\left(x_{j}\right)\right| h_{j}^{1-2 b} \tag{2.23}
\end{gather*}
$$

Similary

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\bar{d}_{j, n, 1}\right|,\left|d_{j, n, \pm 1}\right|<B_{10} \Pi\left(x_{j}\right) \mid h_{j}^{1-2 b} . \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the aid of (2.7), (2.12), (2.23) and (2.24) easy calculations yield right estimates in (2.14) and (2.15). To estimate $\left|d_{j, n}\right|$ and $\left|\bar{d}_{j, n}\right|$ from below we notice, that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|d_{j, n, 0}\right| & >\int_{x_{j}^{0}}^{\bar{x}_{j}} t_{j, n}^{2 b}(x)|\Pi(x)| d x, \\
\left|\bar{d}_{j, n, 0}\right| & >\int_{x_{j}^{0}}^{\bar{x}_{j}} t_{j, n}^{2 b}(x)|\Pi(x)| d x .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(x-x_{j}\right)\left(x_{j-1}-x\right)>\frac{3}{4} \max \left\{\left(x-x_{j}^{0}\right)^{2},\left(x-\bar{x}_{j}\right)^{2}\right\}, \quad x \in\left[x_{j}^{0}, \bar{x}_{j}\right], \\
|\Pi(x)|>2^{1-s}\left|\Pi\left(x_{j}\right)\right|,
\end{gathered}
$$

so

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|d_{j, n, 0}\right|,\left|\bar{d}_{j, n, 0}\right|>\left|\Pi\left(x_{j}\right)\right| 3 \cdot 2^{-s} \int_{x_{j}^{0}}^{\frac{x_{j}+x_{j}^{0}}{2}}\left(x-\bar{x}_{j}\right)^{-2 b} d x \\
=\left|\Pi\left(x_{j}\right)\right| 3 \cdot 2^{-s} \frac{1}{2 b-1}\left(\bar{x}_{j}-x_{j}^{0}\right)^{1-2 b}\left(2^{2 b-1}-1\right) \\
>\left(\frac{8}{3}\right)^{2 b-1}\left(2^{2 b-1}-1\right) 3 \cdot 2^{-s} \frac{1}{2 b-1} h_{j}^{1-2 b}\left|\Pi\left(x_{j}\right)\right|=: 2 B_{3} h_{j}^{1-2 b}\left|\Pi\left(x_{j}\right)\right| .
\end{gathered}
$$

Obviously,(2.13), (2.14), (2.6) and (2.7).

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{3}>2 B_{10} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies the validity of the left estimates in (2.14) and (2.15).
Besides (2.13), (2.16), (2.17) are follow from (2.25).
The estimates (2.18), (2.19) and (2.20) are the corollaries from inequalities (2.13), (2.14), (2.6) and (2.7).

The estimate (2.21) follows from (2.18), (2.12) and representations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{j, n}(x)-\chi_{j}(x)=\int_{-1}^{x} T_{j, n}^{\prime}(y) d y, \quad \text { when } \quad x \leq x_{j} ; \\
& \chi_{j}(x)-T_{j, n}(x)=\int_{x}^{1} T_{j, n}^{\prime}(y) d y, \quad \text { when } \quad x>x_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

.The same estimate is valid for the polynomial $\tilde{T}_{j, n}(x)$, which together with (2.21) give (2.22). Lemma 2 is proved.

Everywhere below $\varphi \in \Phi^{k}$.
Corollary from Lemma 2. If $j \in W$ then

$$
\begin{gather*}
h_{j} \varphi\left(h_{j}\right)\left|\bar{T}_{j, n}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq B_{11} \varphi(\rho)\left(\frac{\rho}{\left|x-x_{j}\right|+\rho}\right)^{\frac{2 b-s-k+1}{2}}, x \in I ;  \tag{2.26}\\
h_{j} \varphi\left(h_{j}\right)\left|\bar{T}_{j, n}^{\prime}(x)\right| \geq B_{12} \varphi(\rho)\left(\frac{\rho}{\left|x-x_{j}\right|+\rho}\right)^{4 b+k}\left|\frac{\Pi(x)}{\Pi\left(x_{j}\right)}\right|, x \in I \backslash I_{j} ;  \tag{2.27}\\
\varphi\left(h_{j}\right)\left|\chi_{j}(x)-T_{j, n}(x)\right| \leq B_{13} \varphi(\rho)\left(\frac{\rho}{\left|x-x_{j}\right|+\rho}\right)^{\frac{2 b-s-k}{2}}, x \in I ;  \tag{2.28}\\
\varphi\left(h_{j}\right)\left|\bar{T}_{j, n}(x)\right| \leq B_{14} \varphi(\rho)\left(\frac{\rho}{\left|x-x_{j}\right|+\rho}\right)^{\frac{2 b-s-k}{2}}, x \in I ; \tag{2.29}
\end{gather*}
$$

Indeed, since $h_{j}^{2}<64 \rho\left(\left|x-x_{j}\right|+\rho\right)$ so $\varphi\left(h_{j}\right) \leq \varphi\left(8 \sqrt{\rho\left(\left|x-x_{j}\right|+\rho\right)}\right) \leq 8^{k} \rho^{-\frac{k}{2}}(\mid x-$ $\left.x_{j} \mid+\rho\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}, \varphi(\rho)$, similarly $\varphi(\rho)=2^{k} h_{j}^{-\frac{k}{2}}\left(\left|x-x_{j}\right|+h_{j}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}} \varphi\left(h_{j}\right)$, therefore (2.26)-(2.29) follow from (2.11), (2.12) and respectively (2.19), (2.20), (2.21) and (2.22).
$3^{0}$. Starting from the collection $\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ and $\left\{y_{i}\right\}$ we construct the collection $Z$ of points $\left\{z_{p}\right\}$. To the collection $Z$ we include all points $y_{i}, i=\overline{0, s}$ and every point $x_{j}$ with $j \in W$. Renumber points of the colection $Z$ in descending order. Put $z_{0}=1$. If $z_{p}=x_{j}, j \in W$, then let the number $j(\rho)=j$. If $z_{p} \in Y$, then we set $j(\rho)=\max \left\{j: j \in W\right.$ and $\left.x_{j}>z_{p}\right\}$. The collection $Z$ contains $n-s^{*}$ points, $s<s^{*}+1<2 s . \$ P u t \mathrm{~b}_{1}:=6 \mathrm{ks}$.
Lemma 3. Let a function $g \in \Delta(Y)$ and $\left|g^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq \varphi(\rho), \quad x \in I$. Then the polynomial

$$
G_{n}(x):=G_{n}(x ; g):=g(-1)+\sum_{q=1}^{n-s^{*}}\left(g\left(z_{q-1}\right)-g\left(z_{q}\right)\right) T_{j(q), n}\left(x ; b_{1} ; Y\right)
$$

of degree $<4 b_{1} n$ is comonotone with $g(x)$ on $I$, that is $G_{n}^{\prime}(x) \Pi(x) \geq 0$ and

$$
\left|g(x)-G_{n}(x ; g)\right| \leq c_{2} \rho \varphi(\rho), x \in I .
$$

Proof. Let $x \in\left(z_{p}, z_{p-1}\right]$, then

$$
g(x)-G_{n}(x ; g)=g(x)-g\left(z_{p-1}\right)+\sum_{q=1}^{n-s^{*}}\left(g\left(z_{q-1}\right)-g\left(z_{q}\right)\right)\left(\chi_{j(q)}(x)-T_{j(q), n}\left(x ; b_{1} ; Y\right)\right)
$$

(when $z_{p} \in Y$ the point $z_{p-1}$ in the last formula must be replaced by $z_{p}$ ).
For every $q=\overline{1, n-s^{*}}$ the following estimates

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{z}_{q-1}-z_{q} \leq 13 h_{j(q)} \\
\frac{1}{9} \rho_{n}(\theta) \leq h_{j(q)} \leq 45 \rho_{n}(\theta), \quad \theta \in\left[z_{q}, z_{q-1}\right] \tag{3.1}
\end{gather*}
$$

are valid. Indeed, $\left[z_{q}, z_{q-1}\right] \bigcup_{v=-2}^{2} I_{j(q)+v}$.
Taking in to account (2.4) and (2.5) we find

$$
\rho_{n}(\theta) \leq \max \text { left } h_{j(q)} ; h_{j(q) \pm 1} ; h_{j(q) \pm 2} \leq 9 h_{j(q)}, \quad h_{j}(q) \leq 45 \rho_{n}(\theta) .
$$

Since $x \in\left(z_{p}, z_{p-1}\right]$, the condition of lemma, (3.1) and (2.8) yield

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|g\left(z_{p-1}\right)-g(x)\right| \leq\left|g\left(z_{p-1}\right)-g\left(z_{p}\right)\right| \leq\left(z_{p-1}-z_{p}\right) \varphi\left(9 h_{j(p)}\right) \\
\leq 13 \cdot 9^{k} h_{j(p) \varphi} \varphi\left(h_{j(p)}\right) \leq c_{3} \rho \varphi(\rho), \\
\left|g\left(z_{q-1}\right)-g\left(z_{q}\right)\right| \leq 13 \cdot 9^{k} h_{j(q) \varphi} \varphi\left(h_{j(q)}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This and (2.28) provide

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|g(x)-G_{n}(x ; g)\right| \leq c_{3} \rho \varphi(\rho)+c_{4} \rho^{2} \varphi(\rho) \sum_{q=1}^{n-s^{*}} \frac{h_{j(q)}}{\left(\left|x-x_{j(q)}\right|+\rho\right)^{2}} \\
\leq c_{3} \rho \varphi(\rho)+c_{j} \rho^{2} \varphi(\rho) \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{h_{j}}{\left(\left|x-x_{j}\right|+\rho\right)^{2}} \leq \\
\leq c_{6} \rho \varphi(\rho) .
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows from the choise of the numbers $j(q)$ and from the construction of $T_{j, n}\left(x ; b_{1} ; Y\right)$ (see (2.13)) that $G_{n} \in \Delta(Y)$. Lemma 3 is proved.
$4^{0}$. For every $i=\overline{1, s-1}$ we denote by $j_{i}$ the number, for which $y_{i} \in I_{j}$. (if there are two such numbers then we choose the greater of them). Put

$$
\begin{gathered}
y_{i}^{*}:=x_{j_{i}}, \quad \text { if } \quad x_{j_{i}-1}-y_{i} \leq y_{i}-x_{j_{i}} ; \quad y_{i}^{*}:=x_{j_{1}-1} \quad \text { in the opposit case; } \\
Y_{i}:=\left(Y \backslash\left\{y_{i}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{y_{i}^{*}\right\} ; \\
\breve{T}_{i, n}(x):=\bar{T}_{j_{i}-2, n}\left(x ; b_{2} ; Y_{i}\right) ; \\
K^{*}(x):=\min _{i=\overline{1, s-1}}\left|x-y_{i}\right| ; \quad K_{n}(x):=\min \left\{1 ; \frac{K^{*}(x)}{\rho}\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 4. If a function $g \in W^{2} H_{k-1}^{\omega}$ and $g^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right)=0$ for every $i=\overline{1, s-1}$, then the polynomial

$$
Q_{n}(x ; g):=D_{n}(x ; g)-\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \frac{D_{n}^{\prime}\left(y_{i} ; g\right)}{\breve{T}_{i, n}^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right)} \breve{T}_{i, n}(x)
$$

of degree $<5 b_{2} n$ for any $\delta>0$ and $x \in I$ satisfies the inequalities

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|g(x)-Q_{n}(x ; g)\right| \leq c_{7} \rho^{2} \omega(\rho),  \tag{4.1}\\
\left|g^{\prime}(x)-Q_{n}^{\prime}(x ; g)\right| \leq \rho\left(c_{\delta} \omega_{k-1}\left(g^{\prime \prime} ; \rho ;[x-\delta, x+\delta] \cap I\right)\right. \\
\left.+c_{9}\left(\frac{\rho}{\delta}\right)^{r-2 k-2} \omega(\rho)\right) K_{n}(x), \tag{4.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

in particular

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g^{\prime}(x)-Q_{n}^{\prime}(x ; g)\right| \leq c_{\delta} \rho \omega(\rho) K_{n}(x), \quad x \in I . \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us make use of approximate properties of the polynomial $D_{n}(x, g)$, given in the Lemma 1 and show that for the polynomial $Q_{n}(x, g)$ the inequality (4.2) holds. Obviously, it is sufficient to prove (4.2) for $\delta>10 \rho$. Let us fix $i=\overline{1, s-1}$. Inequalities (2.19) and (2.20) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \alpha_{i}(x):=\left|\frac{\breve{T}_{i_{n}}^{\prime}(x)}{\breve{T}_{i, n}^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right)}\right| \leq B_{15}\left(\frac{h_{j_{i}-2}}{\left|x-x_{j_{i}-2}\right|+h_{j_{i}-2}}\right)^{2 b_{2}}\left|\frac{\Pi\left(x ; Y_{i}\right)}{\Pi\left(y_{i} ; Y_{i}\right)}\right| \\
& \leq B_{16}\left(\frac{h_{j_{i}-2}}{\left|x-x_{j_{i}-2}\right|+h_{j_{i}-2}}\right)^{2 b_{2}-s+1} K_{n}(x) \\
& \leq B_{17}\left(\frac{\rho}{\left|x-x_{j_{i}-2}\right|+h_{j_{i}-2}}\right)^{b_{2}-\frac{s-1}{2}} K_{n}(x), x \in I \backslash O_{i} . \tag{4.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\rho<\delta<24\left(\left|x-x_{j_{i}-2}\right|+h_{j_{1}-2}\right)$. Then for $x \in I \backslash O_{i}$, taking into account (2.3) and (2.4) one can write the inequality

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|D_{n}^{\prime}\left(y_{i} ; g\right)\right| \alpha_{i}(x) \leq R_{1} \rho_{n}\left(y_{i}\right) \omega\left(\rho_{n}\left(y_{i}\right)\right) B_{17}\left(\frac{\rho}{\left|x-x_{j_{i}-2}\right|+h_{j_{i}-2}}\right)^{b_{2}-\frac{s-1}{2}} K_{n}(x) \\
\leq c_{10} \rho \omega(\rho)\left(\frac{\rho}{\left|x-x_{j_{i}-2}\right|+h_{j_{i}-2}}\right)^{b_{2}-\frac{s-1}{2}-\frac{k}{2}} K_{n}^{\prime}(x) \\
\leq c_{11} \rho \omega(\rho)\left(\frac{\rho}{\delta}\right)^{b_{2}-\frac{s+k-1}{2}} K_{n}(x) . \tag{4.5}
\end{gather*}
$$

If $\delta \geq 24\left(\left|x-x_{j_{i}-2}\right|+h_{j_{i}-2}\right)>2\left(\left|x-y_{i}\right|+h_{j_{i}-2}\right)$, then we shall make use from (2.2) and (4.4), noting that $\left[y_{i}-\frac{\delta}{2}, y_{i}+\frac{\delta}{2}\right] \subset[x-\delta, x+\delta]$ :

$$
\left|D_{n}^{\prime}\left(y_{i} ; g\right)\right| \alpha_{i}(x) \leq \rho_{n}\left(y_{i}\right)\left(R_{1} \omega_{k-1}\left(\rho_{n}\left(y_{i}\right) ; g^{\prime \prime} ;[x-\delta, x+\delta] \cap I\right)\right.
$$

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left.+R_{2}\left(\frac{\rho_{n}\left(y_{i}\right)}{\delta / 2}\right)^{r-2 k-2} \omega\left(\rho_{n}\left(y_{i}\right)\right)\right) \alpha_{i}(x) \\
\leq c_{12} \rho\left(\omega_{k-1}\left(\rho ; g^{\prime \prime} ;[x-\delta, x+\delta] \cap I\right)\left(\frac{\rho}{\left|x-x_{j_{i}-2}\right|+h_{j_{i}-2}}\right)^{b_{2}-\frac{s+k-1}{2}}\right. \\
+\left(\frac{\left|x-y_{i}\right|+\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\frac{r-2 k-2}{2}}\left(\frac{\rho}{\delta}\right)^{r-2 k-2} \omega(\rho) \\
\left.\times\left(\frac{\left|x-y_{i}\right|+\rho}{\rho}\right)^{\frac{k}{2}}\left(\frac{\rho}{\left|x-x_{j_{i}-2}\right|+h_{j_{i}-2}}\right)^{b_{2}-\frac{s-1}{2}}\right) K_{n}(x) \\
\leq \rho\left(c_{12} \omega_{k-1}\left(\rho ; g^{\prime \prime} ;[x-\delta, x+\delta] \cap I\right)+c_{13}\left(\frac{\rho}{\delta}\right)^{r-2 k-2} \omega(\rho)\right. \\
\left.\times\left(\frac{\rho}{\left|x-y_{i}\right|+\rho}\right)^{b_{2}+1+\frac{k-r-s+1}{2}}\right) K_{n}(x), \quad x \in I \backslash O_{i} \tag{4.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

in this case. Taking into account that $b_{2}=r-2 k-1+\left[\frac{s+k-1}{2}\right]$ we find that for $x \in I \backslash O_{i}$ the inequality (4.2) follows from (2.2), (4.5) and (4.6).

Let now $x \in O_{i}$. We need to estimate an expression

$$
g^{\prime}(x)-D_{n}^{\prime}(x ; g)+\frac{D_{n}^{\prime}\left(y_{i} ; g\right)}{\breve{T}_{i, n}^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right)} \breve{T}_{i, n}^{\prime}(x):=\beta(x)
$$

For this purpose we involve Dzjadyk's inequality for the algebraic polinomial derivative modulus ( see [10], p. 257 or [1], X22) and using the estimate

$$
\left|\alpha_{i}(\theta)\right| \leq B_{16}\left(\frac{h_{j_{i}-2}}{\left|\theta-x_{j_{i}-2}\right|+h_{j_{i}-2}}\right)^{2 b_{2}-s+1}, \theta \in I
$$

obtain

$$
\left|\alpha_{i}^{\prime}(\theta)\right| \leq B_{15} \frac{1}{\rho_{n}(\theta)}\left(\frac{h_{j_{i}-2}}{\left|\theta-x_{j_{i}-2}\right|+h_{j_{i}-2}}\right)^{2 b_{2}-s+1}, \theta \in I
$$

Similarly to (4.5) and (4.6) we find

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|D_{n}^{\prime}\left(y_{i} ; g\right) \alpha_{i}^{\prime}(\theta)\right| \leq c_{14}\left(\omega_{k-1}\left(g^{\prime \prime} ; \rho ;[x-\delta, x+\delta] \cap I\right)+\left(\frac{\rho}{\delta}\right)^{r-2 k-2} \omega(\rho)\right) \\
=: c_{14} \Omega, \quad \theta \in O_{i}
\end{gathered}
$$

It follows from (2.2) that

$$
\left|g^{\prime \prime}(\theta)-D_{n}^{\prime \prime}(\theta ; g)\right| \leq c_{15} \Omega, \quad \theta \in O_{i}
$$

Recalling the equality $g^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right)=0$ we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\beta(x)|=\left|\int_{y_{2}}^{x} \beta^{\prime}(u) d u\right| \leq\left|x-y_{i}\right|\left(c_{14}+c_{15}\right) \Omega \leq c_{16} \rho \Omega K_{n}^{x}(x) . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for $x \in O$ the inequality (4.2) follows from (4.5)-(4.7).
The inequality (4.1) follows from (2.3), (2.20), (2.29) and inequalities

$$
\begin{gathered}
\quad\left|\sum_{i=1}^{s-1} \frac{D_{n}^{\prime}\left(y_{i} ; g\right)}{\breve{T}_{i, n}^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right)} \breve{T}_{i, n}(x)\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{s-1} c_{17} \rho_{n}\left(y_{i}\right) \omega\left(\rho_{n}\left(y_{i}\right)\right) \\
\times\left(\frac{\rho}{\left|x-x_{j_{i}-2}\right|+\rho}\right)^{b_{2}-\frac{s}{2}} h_{j_{i}-2}\left|\frac{\Pi\left(x_{j_{i}-2} ; Y_{i}\right)}{\Pi\left(y_{i} ; Y_{i}\right)}\right| \leq c_{18} \rho^{2} \omega(\rho) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Lemma 4 is proved.
Lemma 5. For an arbitrary set $E$, consisting of the segments $I_{j}, j \in W$, the polynomial

$$
U_{n}(x ; E):=\sum_{j: I_{j} \subset E} \varphi\left(h_{j}\right) h_{j} \bar{T}_{j, n}\left(x ; b_{1} ; Y\right)
$$

of degree $\leq 5 b_{1} n$ satisfies the following inequelities

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left|U_{n}(x ; E)\right| \leq B_{19} \rho \varphi(\rho), \quad x \in I ;  \tag{4.8}\\
U_{n}^{\prime}(x ; E) \Pi(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in I \backslash E ;  \tag{4.9}\\
\left|U_{n}^{\prime}(x ; E)\right| \leq B_{20} \varphi(\rho), \quad x \in E ;  \tag{4.10}\\
\left|U_{n}^{\prime}(x ; E)\right| \geq B_{21} \varphi(\rho)\left(\frac{\rho}{\operatorname{dist}(x, E)+\rho}\right)^{4 b_{1}+k+s-1} K_{n}(x), \quad x \in I \backslash E . \tag{4.11}
\end{gather*}
$$

Proof. Let us prove (4.11). For every $x \in I \backslash E$, among all numbers $j: I_{j} \subset E$ we choose the number $j^{*}$ such that $\left|x-x_{j}\right|=\operatorname{mim}_{j: I_{j} \subset E}\left|x-x_{j}\right|$ (if there are two such numbers, we take the largest of them). Applying (2.27) and (2.17) we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|U_{n}^{\prime}(x ; E)\right| \geq B_{12} \varphi(\rho)\left(\frac{\rho}{\left|x-x_{j} \cdot\right|+\rho}\right)^{4 b_{1}+k}\left|\frac{\Pi(x)}{\Pi\left(x_{j^{*}}\right)}\right|, \quad x \in I \backslash E \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Fix $i=\overline{1, s-1}$ and notice that for $x \in O_{i}$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{x-y_{i}}{x_{j}-y_{i}}\right| \geq c_{19} K_{n}(x) \frac{\rho}{\left|x-x_{j}\right|+\rho}, \quad j \in W \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Now we collect together (4.12), (2.11) and (4.13), apply the estimate

$$
\left|x-x_{j^{*}}\right|+\rho<6(\operatorname{dist}(x, E)+\rho), \quad x \in I \backslash E
$$

and find (4.11).
The estimate (4.10) follows from (2.26) and the choice of $b_{1}\left(b_{1}=6 k s\right)$, namely

$$
\left|U_{n}^{\prime}(x ; E)\right| \leq c_{20} \rho \varphi(\rho) \sum_{j: I_{j} \subset E} \frac{h_{j}}{\left(\left|x-x_{j}\right|+\rho\right)^{2}}\left(\frac{\rho}{\left|x-x_{j}\right|+\rho}\right)^{\frac{2 b_{1}-s-k+1}{2}-3}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\leq c_{20} \rho \varphi(\rho) \sum_{j: I_{j} \subset E} \frac{h_{j}}{\left(\left|x-x_{j}\right|+\rho\right)^{2}} \leq 2 c_{20} \varphi(\rho), \quad x \in I \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly to (4.14) the estimate (4.8) follows from (2.29). The estimate (4.9) follows from (2.27). Lemma 5 is proved.
$5^{0}$. Lemma 6. Let a function $g \in H_{k}^{\varphi}$, an integer $j=\overline{1, n-20 k}$ and the set

$$
J_{j}:=\bigcup_{\nu=0}^{20 k} I_{j+\nu}
$$

are given. If among the segments $I_{j+\nu}$ there exist $2 k-1$ segments $I_{j+\nu_{p}}, 0 \leq \nu_{1}<\nu_{2}<$ $\ldots<\nu_{2 k-1} \leq 20 k$, such that for each $p=\overline{0,2 k-1}$ a point $\tilde{x}_{j+\nu_{p}}$ can be found for which

$$
\left|g\left(\tilde{x}_{j+\nu_{p}}\right)\right| \leq \varphi\left(\rho_{n}\left(\tilde{x}_{j+\nu_{p}}\right)\right),
$$

then for any $x \in J_{j}$ we have

$$
|g(x)| \leq c_{21} \varphi(\rho)
$$

Lemma 6 can be proved using the Whitney's inequality [18], [19].
The following inequality will be quoted.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{mes} J_{j} \leq c_{22} \rho, \quad x \in J_{j} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Put

$$
M=\max \left\{\frac{2 c_{8}\left(c_{22}+1\right)^{4 b_{1}+k+s-1}}{B_{21}}, \frac{14^{4 b_{1}+k+s-1} c_{8}}{B_{21}}, 1\right\}
$$

and

$$
A=\max \left\{2 c_{8}+M B_{20}, 1\right\}
$$

Everywhere below $\varphi(t):=t \omega(t)$.
Definition 1. We shall write $j \in V_{1}$, if

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq A c_{21} \varphi(\rho)
$$

for each $x \in I_{j}$;

$$
\begin{gathered}
j \in V_{2} \text { if } j \notin V_{1}, O \cap \bigcup_{\nu=-3}^{3} I_{j+\nu}=\emptyset \text { and } \\
\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| \geq A \varphi(\rho)
\end{gathered}
$$

for each $x \in I_{j} ; \quad j \in V_{3}$, if $j \notin V_{1} \cup V_{2}$. Put

$$
E_{1}:=\bigcup_{j \in V_{1}} I_{j}, \quad E_{2}:=\bigcup_{j \in V_{2}} I_{j}, \quad E_{3}:=\bigcup_{j \in V_{3}} I_{j}
$$

The set $E_{3}$ (if it is not empty ) consists of nonintersecting segment $\left[a_{\nu}, b_{\nu}\right] \subset I$.
Lemma 7. Every from nonintersecting segments $\left[a_{\nu}, b_{\nu}\right]$, constituting the set $E_{3}$, consists of no more then $20 k$ segments. Other words, if $j \in V_{3}$, then among the numbers $j, j+$ $1, \ldots, j+20 k$ there are at least one number $j^{0}$ such that $j^{0} \notin V_{3}$.

Lemma 7 follows from lemma 6.
Henceforth we shall assume that $E_{2} \neq \emptyset$, else theorem $1^{\prime}$ wold follow from the lemmas 7 and 3.

Let $j \in V_{3}$. We denote by $\left[a_{\nu(j)}, b_{\nu(j)}\right]$ - that of nonintersecting segments $\left[a_{\nu}, b_{\nu}\right]$, constituting the set $E_{3}$, which contains the segment $I_{j}$.
Definition $1^{\prime}$. We shall write $j \in V_{3,1}$, if $j \in V_{3}$ and $E_{1} \cap\left[a_{\nu(j)}, b_{\nu(j)}\right] \neq \emptyset$. Denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{3,2}:=V_{3} \backslash V_{3,1}, \quad V_{4}:=V_{3,1} \cup V_{1}, \quad V_{5}:=V_{3,2} \cup V_{2} \\
E_{3,1}:=\bigcup_{j \in V_{3,1}} I_{j}, \quad E_{3,2}:=\bigcup_{j \in V_{3,2}} I_{j} ; \quad E_{4}:=E_{3,1} \cup E_{1}, \quad E_{5}:=E_{3,2} \cup E_{2} .
\end{gathered}
$$

We shall write $j \in V_{6}$, if $j \in V_{4}$ and $I_{j} \cap E_{5} \neq \emptyset$. Put

$$
E_{6}=\bigcup_{j \in V_{0}} I_{j}
$$

We shall write $j \in V_{7}$, if $j \in V_{4} \backslash V_{6}$ and $I_{j} \cap E_{6} \neq \emptyset$. Put

$$
E_{7}=\bigcup_{j \in V_{7}} I_{j}
$$

Finally, we shall write $j \in V_{8}$, if $j \in V_{4} \backslash\left(V_{6} \cup V_{7}\right)$ and $I_{j} \cap E_{7} \neq \emptyset$. Put

$$
E_{8}=\bigcup_{j \in V_{8}} I_{j}
$$

For every $j=\overline{1, n}$ we define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{j}(x):=\int_{x_{j}}^{x}\left(y-x_{j}\right)^{k}\left(x_{j-1}-y\right)^{k} d y\left(\int_{x_{j}}^{x_{j-1}}\left(y-x_{j}\right)^{k}\left(x_{j-1}-y\right)^{k} d y\right)^{-1} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivative $f^{\prime}(x)$ we represent as a sum of a litlefunction $g_{1}=g_{1}(x)$ and a l̈argefunction $g_{2}=g_{2}(x)$ as follows.

Definition 2. We put $g_{1}(x)=0$ for $x \in E_{5} \cup E_{6} ; \quad g_{1}=f^{\prime}(x)$, for $x \in E_{4} \backslash\left(E_{6} \cup E_{7}\right)$. For $x \in I_{j}$ with $j \in V_{7}$ we put $g_{1}(x):=0$, when $(j+1) \in V_{6}$ and $(j-1) \in V_{6} ; \quad g_{1}(x):=$ $\left(1-S_{j}(x)\right) f^{\prime}(x)$, when $(j+1) \notin V_{6} ; \quad g_{1}(x)=S_{j}(x) f^{\prime}(x)$, when $(j-1) \notin V_{6}$.

Set $g_{2}(x):=f^{\prime}(x)-g_{1}(x)$,

$$
f_{1}(x):=f(-1)+\int_{-1}^{x} g_{1}(y) d y, \quad f_{2}(x):=\int_{-1}^{x} g_{2}(y) d y
$$

(that is $f(x)=f_{1}(x)+f_{2}(x)$. )
Lemma 8. The following inequalities are valid

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|g_{1}(x)\right| \leq A_{1} \varphi(\rho), \quad x \in I \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{k}\left(g_{2} ; t ; I\right) \leq A_{2} \varphi(t), \quad t \geq 0 \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first inequality of Lemma 8 can be proved using Whitney's inequality [18], [19] and Lemma 6. The second can be shown as in [20].

## Proof of the theorem $1^{\prime}$.

$6^{0}$. We choose the number $n_{1}$ such, that conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
A_{2} c_{9}\left(\frac{\rho_{n_{1}}(x)}{\rho}\right) \leq c_{8}  \tag{6.1}\\
65^{4 b_{1}+k+s-1} c_{8} A_{2}\left(\frac{\rho_{n_{1}}(x)}{\rho}\right) \leq M B_{21}, \quad x \in I \tag{6.2}
\end{gather*}
$$

are fulfiled. For this purpose it is sufficient to take $n=A_{3} n$, where

$$
A_{3}=\max \left\{\left[\frac{A_{2} c_{9}}{c_{8}}+1\right],\left[\frac{65^{4 b_{1}+k+s-1} c_{\mathrm{s}} A_{2}}{M B_{21}}+1\right]\right\}
$$

We denote by

$$
\bar{Q}_{n_{1}}\left(x ; f_{2}\right):=Q_{n_{1}}\left(x ; f_{2}\right)+M U_{n}\left(x ; E_{2}\right)
$$

the sum of polinomials, defined in lemmas 4 and 5 . The inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{2}(x)-\bar{Q}_{n_{1}}\left(x ; f_{2}\right)\right| \leq c_{23} \rho^{2} \omega(\rho), \quad x \in I \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows from (4.1) and (4.8).
With the help of lemmas $4,5,8$ and 7 we shall prove the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{Q}_{n_{1}}^{\prime}\left(x ; f_{2}\right) \Pi(x)=\left(Q_{n_{1}}^{\prime}\left(x ; f_{2}\right)-f_{2}^{\prime}(x)+M U_{n}^{\prime}\left(x ; E_{2}\right)\right) \Pi(x)+f_{2}^{\prime}(x) \Pi(x) \geq 0, \quad x \in I \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We notice, that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{2}^{\prime}(x) \Pi(x) \geq 0, x \in I \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows directly from the function's $f_{2}$ definition.
To prove (6.4) we consider four cases.

1) Let $x \in E_{2}$. By the construction, the sets $E_{2}$ and $E_{9}:=\cup I_{j}$, where $j \notin V_{2}, I_{j} \cap E_{2} \neq \emptyset$, doesn't contain any point $y_{i}, i=\overline{1, s-1}$, therefore here $K_{n}(x)=K_{n_{1}}(x)=1$. Besides, from the definitions 2 and 1 it is seen that the function $f_{2}^{\prime}(x)$ is l̈argeön the set $E_{2}$, namely $\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right| \geq A \rho \omega(\rho)$. Hense, for the validity of (6.4) it is sufficient in accordance with (4.2), (4.10) and (5.4) to verify the inequality

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{n_{1}}(x)\left(c_{8} \omega_{k-1}\left(f_{2}^{\prime \prime} ; \rho_{n_{1}}(x) ;[x-\delta, x+\delta] \cap I\right)+A_{2} c_{9}\left(\frac{\rho_{n_{1}}(x)}{\delta}\right)^{r-2 k-2} \omega\left(\rho_{n_{1}}(x)\right)\right) \\
+M B_{20} \rho \omega(\rho) \leq A \rho \omega(\rho),
\end{gathered}
$$

being satisfied for some $\delta$, say equel to $\rho$. It is not difficult, as the numbers $n_{1}$ and $A$ are choosen respectively.
2) Let $x \in E_{3,2}=E_{5} \backslash E_{2}$. Sinse (4.9) is valid, so it is sufficient to prove the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|Q_{n_{1}}^{\prime}\left(x ; f_{2}\right)-f_{2}^{\prime}(x)\right| \leq M\left|U_{n}^{\prime}\left(x ; E_{2}\right)\right| \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\delta=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, E_{7}\right)$. Let us now make use of (4.2), (4.11) and taking into account (5.4) write

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(c_{8}+A_{2} c_{9}\left(\frac{\rho_{n_{1}}(x)}{\operatorname{dist}\left(x, E_{7}\right)}\right)^{r-2 k-2}\right) \rho_{n_{1}}(x) \omega\left(\rho_{n_{1}}(x)\right) K_{n_{1}}(x) \\
\quad \leq M B_{21} \rho \omega(\rho)\left(\frac{\rho}{\operatorname{dist}\left(x, E_{2}\right)+\rho}\right)^{4 b_{1}+k+s-1} K_{n}(x) \tag{6.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

From the definition of the set $E_{3,2}$, lemma 7 and (5.1) it is seen, that $\operatorname{dist}\left(x, E_{2}\right)<c_{22} \rho$. Besides, for all $x \in I$ and $n_{1} \geq n$ the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n_{1}}(x) K_{n_{1}}(x) \leq \rho K_{n}(x) \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

is true. It follows from this that the choosen $n_{1}$ and $M$ supply the validity of (6.7) and hence (6.6).
3) Let $x \in E_{4} \backslash\left(E_{6} \cup E_{7} \cup E_{8}\right)$. Similary to 2) we have to show that the inequality (6.6) is valid. Take $\delta=\operatorname{dist}\left(x, E_{7}\right)$. Taking in to account (4.2), (5.4), (4.11) and equality $f_{2}^{\prime}(x)=0$ we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{2} c_{9}\left(\frac{\rho_{n_{1}}(x)}{\operatorname{dist}\left(x, E_{7}\right)}\right)^{r-2 k-2} \rho_{n_{1}}(x) \omega\left(\rho_{n_{1}}(x)\right) K_{n_{1}}(x) \\
& \leq M B_{21} \rho \omega(\rho)\left(\frac{\rho}{\operatorname{dist}\left(x, E_{2}\right)+\rho}\right)^{4 b_{1}+k+s-1} K_{n}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

In accordance with (6.8) and the choice of $r$ and $b_{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{2} c_{9}\left(\frac{\rho_{n_{1}}(x)}{\operatorname{dist}\left(x, E_{7}\right)}\right)^{4 b_{1}+k+s} \leq M B_{21}\left(\frac{\rho}{\operatorname{dist}\left(x, E_{2}\right)+\rho}\right)^{4 b_{1}+k+s-1} \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice, that $14 \operatorname{dist}\left(x, E_{7}\right)>\operatorname{dist}\left(x, E_{2}\right)+\rho$. Therefore by virtue of $(6.1)$

$$
14^{4 b_{1}+k+s-1} c_{8} \leq M B_{21}
$$

This inequality follows from the choice of the number $M$, therefore the estimate (6.6) is true.
4) Finally let $x \in E_{6} \cup E_{7} \cup E_{8}$. We take $\delta=\infty$. In this case (6.6) follows from (4.2), (4.11), (5.4), (6.2) and equality $K_{n}(x)=K_{n_{1}}(x)=1$.

Thus the estimate (6.4) is valid for all $x \in I$. This with lemma 3 and (6.3) mean that the polynomial

$$
P_{n_{1}}(x):=\bar{Q}_{n_{1}}\left(x ; f_{2}\right)+G_{n}\left(x ; f_{1}\right)
$$

of degree $<\max \left\{A_{3}, 5\right\} 25 k s n$ is desired in theorem $1^{\prime}$. Theorem $1^{\prime}$ is proved.

## The proof of the theorem 2.

It follows from the theorem $1^{\prime}$, that it is sufficient to investigate only the case $n=k+1$.
Let us cosider two situations.

1) $s>k$. Sinse $f^{\prime}\left(y_{i}\right)=0$ for all $i=\overline{1, k}$, then $L\left(x ; f^{\prime}\right):=L\left(x ; f^{\prime} ; y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right) \equiv 0$ where $L\left(x ; f^{\prime} ; y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)$ - the Lagrange polynomial of degree $\leq k-1$, which interpolates the function $f^{\prime}(x)$ at the points $y_{i}, i=\overline{1, k}$. We denote $\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k+2}, x ; f^{\prime}\right]-$ is the divided difference of $k$-th order of the function $f^{\prime}$ associeted with the points $y_{i}, \quad i=\overline{i, k}$. By [1, p.56] we have

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(x)\right|=\left|\left[y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}, x ; f^{\prime}\right]\right| \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|x-y_{i}\right| \leq c_{1}(Y) \omega(1)
$$

$\left(c_{i}(Y)-\right.$ constants depending of $\left.Y\right)$. Therefore the polynomial $P_{k} \equiv f(-1)$ is desired in this theorem.
2) Let $s \leq k$. To the collection of points $y_{i}, i=\overline{1, s}$ let us add $(k-s+1)$ equdistant points $1=y_{s}>y_{s+1}>\ldots>y_{k+1}=y_{s-1}$. Taking in to account that $f \in W^{2} H_{k-1}^{\omega}$ by $[1$, p.56]

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(x)-L\left(x ; f^{\prime} ; y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)\right| \leq c_{2}\left(Y^{*}\right) \omega(1) \prod_{i=1}^{k}\left|x-y_{i}\right| \leq c_{3}(Y) \omega(1)
$$

We put

$$
P_{k}(x):=f(-1)+\int_{-1}^{x}\left(L\left(u ; f^{\prime} ; y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right)+c_{2}(Y) \omega(1) \Pi(u)\right) d u
$$

and note, that $P_{k}^{\prime}(x) \Pi(x) \geq 0$. Theorem 2 is proved.

The authors are very indebted to prof. J. Gilewicz for his important remarks and interest for this paper.
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