

УДК 517.576

O. M. MULIAVA

A CONVERGENCE CLASS FOR ENTIRE DIRICHLET SERIES OF SLOW GROWTH

Dedicated to the 70th anniversary of Prof. A. A. Gol'dberg

O. M. Muliava. *A convergence class for entire Dirichlet series of slow growth*, *Matematychni Studii*, **14** (2000) 49–53.

For an entire Dirichlet series with nonnegative increasing to $+\infty$ exponents a connection between the growth of maximum modulus and the behaviour of coefficients is established in the terms of certain convergence class.

O. M. Мулява. *Класс сходимости для целых рядов Дирихле медленного роста* // *Математичні Студії*. – 2000. – Т.14, №1. – С.49–53.

Для целого ряда Дирихле с неотрицательными возрастающими к $+\infty$ показателями в терминах определенного класса сходимости установлена связь между ростом максимума модуля и поведением коэффициентов.

Let $0 = \lambda_0 < \lambda_n \uparrow +\infty$ and

$$F(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \exp(s\lambda_n), \quad s = \sigma + it, \quad (1)$$

be an entire (absolutely convergent in \mathbb{C}) Dirichlet series. We say that this series is of slow growth if $\ln M(\sigma) = (1+o(1))\sigma l(\sigma)$ ($\sigma \rightarrow +\infty$), where $M(\sigma) = \sup\{|F(\sigma+it)| : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ and l is a slowly increasing function, i.e. l is a positive increasing to $+\infty$ function on $[x_0, +\infty)$ such that $xl'(x)/l(x) \rightarrow 0$, $x \rightarrow \infty$. Further, for simplicity, we consider that $x_0 = 1$. Generalizing a result of Valiron [1] on the belonging of an entire function of finite order to classical convergence class, Kamthan [2] indicated conditions on the exponents and the coefficients of series (1) in order that $\int_0^{\infty} \exp\{-\rho\sigma\} \ln M(\sigma) d\sigma < +\infty$. This result is generalized in [3–4], where generalized convergence classes are introduced and studied. Here we supplement the results from [3–4] for entire Dirichlet series of slow growth.

Let α be a slowly increasing function. We say that Dirichlet series (1) belongs to a convergence α -class provided

$$\int_1^{\infty} \frac{\ln M(\sigma) d\sigma}{\sigma^2 \alpha(\sigma)} < +\infty, \quad \text{if} \quad \int_1^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t\alpha(t)} < +\infty, \quad (2)$$

and

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{d\sigma}{\alpha(\sigma) \ln M(\sigma)} < +\infty, \quad \text{if} \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{t\alpha(t)} = +\infty. \quad (3)$$

Suppose that $\ln n = O(\lambda_n)$, $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then [5, p. 184] there exists $K > 0$ and $\tau > 0$ such that $M(\sigma) \leq K\mu(\sigma + \tau)$ for all $\sigma \geq 1$, where $\mu(\sigma) = \max\{|a_n| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_n\} : n \geq 0\}$ is the maximal term of series (1). Hence in view of the Cauchy inequality $\mu(\sigma) \leq M(\sigma)$ [5, c. 125] it follows that in (2) and (3) we can put $\ln \mu(\sigma)$ instead of $\ln M(\sigma)$.

Let $\nu(\sigma) = \max\{n : |a_n| \exp\{\sigma\lambda_n\} = \mu(\sigma)\}$ be the central index of series (1). Then [5, c. 182] $\ln \mu(\sigma) - \ln \mu(\sigma_0) = \int_{\sigma_0}^\sigma \lambda_{\nu(t)} dt$, whence in view of nondecreasing of $\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}$ we easily obtain the following estimates $(\sigma/2)\lambda_{\nu(\sigma/2)} \leq \ln \mu(\sigma) - \ln \mu(\sigma_0) \leq \sigma\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}$. Thus, *Dirichlet series (1) belongs to the convergence α -class iff*

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)} d\sigma}{\sigma\alpha(\sigma)} < +\infty, \quad 1 \leq \sigma_0 < +\infty, \quad \text{if} \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{t\alpha(t)} < +\infty, \quad (4)$$

and

$$\int_1^\infty \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma\alpha(\sigma)\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}} < +\infty, \quad \text{if} \quad \int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{t\alpha(t)} = +\infty. \quad (5)$$

Finally, let a_n^o be the coefficients of Newton majorant of Dirichlet series (1) and $\varkappa_n^o = \frac{\ln a_n^o - \ln a_{n+1}^o}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n}$. Then [5, p. 180–183] $|a_n| \leq a_n^o$, $\varkappa_n^o \nearrow +\infty$, and if $\varkappa_{n-1}^o \leq \sigma < \varkappa_n^o$ then $\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)} = \lambda_n$. For simplicity, we suppose that $\varkappa_0^o \geq 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty \frac{\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)} d\sigma}{\sigma\alpha(\sigma)} + \text{const} &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \int_{\varkappa_{n-1}^o}^{\varkappa_n^o} \frac{\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)} d\sigma}{\sigma\alpha(\sigma)} = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n \int_{\varkappa_{n-1}^o}^{\varkappa_n^o} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma\alpha(\sigma)} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \lambda_n (\beta_1(\varkappa_{n-1}^o) - \beta_1(\varkappa_n^o)) = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}) \beta_1(\varkappa_{n-1}^o) + \text{const}, \quad \beta_1(x) = \int_x^\infty \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma\alpha(\sigma)}. \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

By analogy,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_1^\infty \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma\alpha(\sigma)\lambda_{\nu(\sigma)}} + \text{const} &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \int_{\varkappa_{n-1}^o}^{\varkappa_n^o} \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma\alpha(\sigma)} = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \frac{1}{\lambda_n} (\beta_2(\varkappa_n^o) - \beta_2(\varkappa_{n-1}^o)) = \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^\infty \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right) \beta_2(\varkappa_{n-1}^o) + \text{const}, \quad \beta_2(x) = \int_1^x \frac{d\sigma}{\sigma\alpha(\sigma)}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Thus, we need to investigate the convergence of the last series in (6) and (7).

From the definition of \varkappa_{n-1}^o it follows that

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \ln \frac{1}{a_n^o} = \frac{\varkappa_0^o \lambda_1^* + \cdots + \varkappa_{n-1}^o \lambda_n^*}{\lambda_1^* + \cdots + \lambda_n^*}, \quad \lambda_n^* = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}, \quad (8)$$

whence we obtain the inequality $\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \ln \frac{1}{a_n^o} \leq \varkappa_{n-1}^o$. Therefore, if

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}) \beta_1 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \ln \frac{1}{a_n^o} \right) < +\infty, \quad (9)$$

then, in view of decrease of the function β_1 , relation (4) holds, and if (5) holds then in view of increase of the function β_2 we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right) \beta_2 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \ln \frac{1}{a_n^0} \right) < +\infty. \quad (10)$$

In order to obtain a converse to the first assertion, we use the following

Lemma [4]. *Let $p > 1$, $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$ and f be a positive function on (A, B) , $-\infty \leq A < B \leq +\infty$, such that the function $f^{1/p}$ is convex on (A, B) . Let (λ_n^*) be a sequence of positive numbers, (a_n) be a sequence of numbers from (A, B) and $A_n = \frac{\lambda_1^* a_1 + \dots + \lambda_n^* a_n}{\lambda_1^* + \dots + \lambda_n^*}$. Finally, let (μ_n) be a positive nonincreasing sequence. Then*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n \lambda_n^* f(A_n) \leq q^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mu_n \lambda_n^* f(a_n). \quad (11)$$

Since the function α is increasing to $+\infty$, then it is easy to show that the function $\beta_1^{1/2}(x)$ is convex on an interval where $\alpha(x) \geq 1$. Therefore, if we put in Lemma $p = 2$, $\mu_n \equiv 1$ and $\lambda_n^* = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}$, then in view of (8) we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}) \beta_1 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \ln \frac{1}{a_n^0} \right) \leq 4 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}) \beta_1(\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}^o).$$

Thus, in view of (6) we have proved that (4) holds iff (9) holds.

In order to obtain an converse to the second assertion, we remark that in view of (8) $\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \ln \frac{1}{a_n^0} \geq \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}}{\lambda_n} \mathcal{Z}_{n-1}^o$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_2(e^{2x}) &= \int_0^{2x} \frac{dt}{\alpha(e^t)} = \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\alpha(e^t)} + \int_x^{2x} \frac{dt}{\alpha(e^t)} = \\ &= \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\alpha(e^t)} + \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\alpha(e^{t+x})} \leq 2 \int_0^x \frac{dt}{\alpha(e^t)} = 2\beta_2(e^x). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_2(\mathcal{Z}_{n-1}^o) &\leq \beta_2 \left(\exp \left\{ \ln \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \ln \frac{1}{a_n^0} \right) + \ln \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \right\} \right) \leq \\ &\leq \beta_2 \left(\exp \left\{ 2 \max \left\{ \ln \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \ln \frac{1}{a_n^0} \right), \ln \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \right\} \right\} \right) \leq \\ &\leq 2\beta_2 \left(\max \left\{ \ln \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \ln \frac{1}{a_n^0} \right), \ln \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \right\} \right) = \\ &= 2 \max \left\{ \beta_2 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \ln \frac{1}{a_n^0} \right), \beta_2 \left(\ln \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \right) \right\} \leq \\ &\leq 2 \left(\beta_2 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \ln \frac{1}{a_n^0} \right) + \beta_2 \left(\ln \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{n-1}} - \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \right) \beta_2 \left(\ln \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_n - \lambda_{n-1}} \right) < +\infty, \quad (12)$$

then from (10) we obtain the convergence of the last series in (7).

Thus, we have proved that *if the sequence (λ_n) satisfies condition (12), then (5) holds iff (10) holds.*

Remarking that $|a_n| \leq a_n^o$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $|a_n| = a_n^o$ for all $n \geq 0$ provided $\varkappa_n = \frac{\ln|a_n| - \ln|a_{n+1}|}{\lambda_{n+1} - \lambda_n} \nearrow +\infty$, we therefor come to the following

Theorem. *Let the exponents of entire Dirichlet series (1) satisfy the condition $\ln n = O(\lambda_n)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), and α be a slowly increasing function. Then:*

- i) *if $\int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{t\alpha(t)} < +\infty$ then in order that Dirichlet series belong to the convergence α -class, it is necessary and in the case when $\varkappa_n \nearrow +\infty$ it is sufficient that condition (9) hold with $|a_n|$ instead a_n^0 ;*
- ii) *if $\int_1^\infty \frac{dt}{t\alpha(t)} = +\infty$ then in order that Dirichlet series belong to the convergence α -class, in the case $\varkappa_n \nearrow +\infty$ it is necessary and in case when the exponents satisfy condition (12) it is sufficient that condition (10) hold with $|a_n|$ instead a_n^0 .*

Remark 1. In the proof of necessity of condition (9) in the first assertion of Theorem the condition $\ln n = O(\lambda_n)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) is not used. In the proof of sufficiency we can replace this condition by the following condition

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln n}{-\ln |a_n|} = h < 1. \quad (13)$$

Indeed, if (13) holds then for all $\sigma \geq 0$ the following estimate is true [6, c. 23] $M(\sigma) \leq A_0(\varepsilon)\mu\left(\frac{\sigma}{1-h-\varepsilon}\right)$ for every $\varepsilon \in (0, 1-h)$ and some $A_0(\varepsilon) > 0$, and since α is slowly increasing then, in view of the Cauchy inequality, the integrals $\int_1^\infty \frac{\ln M(\sigma)}{\sigma^2\alpha(\sigma)} d\sigma$ and $\int_1^\infty \frac{\ln \mu(\sigma)}{\sigma^2\alpha(\sigma)} d\sigma$ are either convergent or divergent simultaneously. The further proof of sufficiency is analogous to that given above.

Further, if $\int_1^\infty \frac{\ln \mu(\sigma)}{\sigma^2\alpha(\sigma)} d\sigma < +\infty$ then using L'Hospital rule and the slow increase of α we obtain for all enough large σ

$$\frac{1}{2} \geq \int_\sigma^\infty \frac{\ln \mu(x) dx}{x^2\alpha(x)} \geq \ln \mu(\sigma) \int_\sigma^\infty \frac{d\sigma}{x^2\alpha(x)} dx = (1 + o(1)) \frac{\ln \mu(\sigma)}{\sigma\alpha(\sigma)}, \quad \sigma \rightarrow +\infty,$$

that is $\ln \mu(\sigma) \leq \sigma\alpha(\sigma)$, $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$, and $\ln |a_n| \leq \sigma\alpha(\sigma) - \sigma\lambda_n$ for all $n \geq 0$ and $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$. Putting here $\sigma = \varphi(\lambda_n)$, where $\varphi(x)$ is a solution of the equation $\alpha(\sigma) + \sigma\alpha'(\sigma) = x$, we have for $n \geq n_0$ the inequality $\ln |a_n| \leq -\varphi(\lambda_n)\alpha'(\varphi(\lambda_n))$, that is (13) holds provided

$$\overline{\lim}_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\ln n}{\varphi(\lambda_n)\alpha'(\varphi(\lambda_n))} < 1. \quad (14)$$

Thus, the condition $\ln n = O(\lambda_n)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$) in the first assertion of Theorem can be replaced by condition (14).

Remark 2. The condition $\ln n = O(\lambda_n)$ ($n \rightarrow \infty$), is used only to prove the necessity of condition (10). We can replace it by condition (13), but it is impossible to find a condition similar to (15) because the convergence of integral $\int_1^\infty \frac{d\sigma}{\alpha(\sigma)\ln \mu(\sigma)}$ for $\ln \mu(\sigma)$ it can yield only an estimate from below.

Condition (12) appeared as a result of the applied method. We could not find out whether this condition is superfluous.

REFERENCES

1. Valiron G. *General theory of integral functions*, Toulouse, 1923, 382 pp.
2. Kamthan P. K. *A theorem of step functions (III)*, Istanbul univ. fen. fac. месм. А **28** (1963), 65–69.
3. Мулява О. М. *Класи збіжності в теорії рядів Діріхле*, Доп. НАН України, сер. А. (1999), В3, 35–39.
4. Мулява О. М. *Про класи збіжності рядів Діріхле*, Укр. мат. журн. **51** (1999), В11, 1485-1494.
5. Леонтьев А. Ф. *Ряды экспонент*, М.: Наука, 1976, 536 с.
6. Шеремета М.М. *Цілі ряди Діріхле*, К.: ІСДО, 1993, 168 с.

Ivan Franko Drohobych State Pedagogic University,
Physics and Mathematics Faculty

Received 1.07.1999